



Not All Weak Hadith Are Created Equal: Classifying Hadith by Narrator Reliability

Description

Bismillahi Wal Hamdullillah Was Salaatu Was Salaamu â∏ Alaa Rasoolillahi

Ammaa Baâ∏ d:

The Scholars of Hadeeth used to say (and still hold):

3. Weakness due to a narrator being discredited for problems in his Dhabt (His Precision)

It should be known that the main way the early scholars of hadeeth would ascertain the dhabt or precision of a narrator is by comparing their narrations with the narrations of the trustworthy scholars known for their precision.

If he is well-known for agreeing with the trustworthy narrators in his narrations and that his narrations rarely opposing theirs and rarely differ then he is considered precise.

If his narrations agree with the narrations of the trustworthy, but on occasions his narrations oppose them then the scholars would pass a verdict upon him that he is of a lesser level of precision than the well-known so he is considered $\hat{a} \square$ Hasan $\hat{a} \square$ in hadeeth and they would use descriptive words that would indicate that.

If though, his narrations oppose their narrations to the extent that it is said his opposition to them is greater than his agreement with them he is considered weak and his narrations are rejected outright, though it maybe that he is described as being â mimman yuktab



hadeethuhuâ ☐ (from those who ahaadeeth may be written down) that is since his weakness may not be severe his hadeeth may be considered as ahaadeeth that may be used to support ahaadeeth of their like.
As for if his oppositions are gross and he rarely agrees with the trustworthy, he is declared $\hat{a} \square = Faahishul Ghalat \hat{a} \square = (Severe in making errors) \hat{a} \square = (Severe in making errors)$
Thus:
from the Categories of weak hadeeth that relate to dhabt (Precision):
1. Al Munkar (\emptyset § $\dot{\mathbf{U}}$ $ \dot{\mathbf{U}}$ $ \mathbf{$
This category of weak hadeeth differs from the hadeeth Ash Shaadh in that the Shaadh revolves around the trustworthy narrator opposing the trustworthy, the Munkar revolves around the weak narrator opposing the trustworthy
We must always bear in mind that the scholars of hadeeth usually had many students and many teachers. Therefore whenever we have a hadeeth being narrated from an Imaam, then there will usually be from the students of that same Imaam, a student who would have narrated the same hadeeth at some time. Thus when a narrator, who the scholars of hadeeth discover weakness with him in narration, is alone in narrating a hadeeth, and no one from his trustworthy contemporaries have agreed with him in narrating this hadeeth, or none of them narrate it in that manner then this is a cause for concern.
Imaam Abdur Rahmaan Ibn Mahdi â∏ Rahimahullah mentioned as occurs in â∏ Al Jarhi Wat Taâ∏ deelâ∏ of Ibn Abi Haatim (1/1/38):
$\hat{a} \square$ I memorize (i.e. Hadeeth) from a man who is Haafidh (a Great scholar of hadeeth well-known for his strong memory) and $\hat{a} \square$ Mutqin $\hat{a} \square$ (A precise memorizor), this individual no one will differ about him (i.e. whether or not to take from him etc). And (i take) from another (narrator) who may make mistakes but for the most part his ahaadeeth are sound and authentic, then the hadeeth of this individual is not left, for if one were to leave his hadeeth then many of the hadeet of the people would go (i.e be lost due to them being abandoned). Then another makes mistakes and the bulk of his narrations are erroneous, then the ahadeeth of this individual are left $\hat{a} \square$



avanania afa badaath Musalaan

as the day his mother gave birth to himâ∏

believing in it and seeking the reward (for that from Allah) he exits from hi	
establishment of) Prayer. Thus whosoever fasts it and prays throughout it	,
Ramadhaan compulsory upon you, and I have left as a Sunnah for you (the	
â∏ Indeed Allah â∏ Azza Wa Jall â∏ has made fasting the month of	
Messenger of Allah â∏ Sallallahu â∏ Alahi Was Salam said:	
(So) He said My Father narrated to me concerning the month of Ramadhaan He said	the
your father narrating from The Messenger of Allah â $oxdot$ Sallallahu â $oxdot$ Alaihi Was S	alam.
Shaibaan who said : $\hat{a} \square I$ said to Abi Salamah $\hat{a} \square I$ Narrate to me something you he	eard
Imaam Ahmad mentions in his Musnad (1/195) upon the authority of An Nadhar Ibn	
An example of a nadeeth Munkar:	

In is chain Is An Nadhr Ibn Shaibaan and he is a weak narrator and he has erred in the narration of this hadeeth. He narrates it from Abu Salamah Narrating from his father, thought the scholars hold Abu Salamah did not hear from his father, this is the first issue of opposition making the hadeeth Munkar. The second issue is that the hadeeth has been narrated by upright trustworthy strong narrators (Such as Yahya Ibn Saâ⊞ eed, Az Zuhri, and Yahya Ibn Abi Katheer who narrate it from Abu Salamah, not from his father but from Abu Hurairah with the wording

â Whosoever fasts Ramadhaan having Imaan in it and seeking the reward for that from Allah, his past sins will be forgiven. And prays On laylatul Qadr believing in it and seeking the reward for it from Allah, his past sins will be forgiven

Thus An Nadhr Ibn Shaibaan opposed the trustworthy narrators in both the chain of transmission and in the text of the hadeeth (only one of those forms of opposition is needed for it to be considered Munkar, here we have two!) thus it is rejected.

- 2.**Ash Shaadh** (\emptyset § $\dot{\mathbf{U}}$ \square \emptyset ' \emptyset \$ \emptyset °): The Hadeeth As Shaadh is defined as: $\hat{\mathbf{a}}$ \square the trustworthy narrator opposing those who are more trustworthy than himâ∏ , thus in essence the hadeeth Ash Shaadh is the similar to the Hadeeth Al Munkar except that with the shaadh the narrator is trustworthy but opposes those more trustworthy than him.
- 3. Al Mudraj (\emptyset § $\dot{\mathbf{U}}$ \cap $\dot{\mathbf{U}}$ \emptyset $^{-}$ \emptyset \pm \emptyset $^{-}$): This is a reference to a hadeeth that has in it wording from one of the narrators of the hadeeth, this wording was heard by one of that narrators students and he presumed that it was part of the hadeeth itself and so it was added to the



text of the hadeeth while it is not actually from it

This usually occurs when a narrator hears his Shaikh narrating a hadeeth, and in the course of his narration, the Shaikh mentions an explanatory statement that one of those who were present presumed was from the wording of the hadeeth, when in actuality it was the statement of the narrator.

This $\hat{a} \coprod Idraaj \hat{a} \coprod occurs at the beginning of the hadeeth (which is very rare), in the middle of the hadeeth (which again is quite rare) or at the end of the hadeeth (which is the most common).$

Examples of this:

1. In the beginning of the hadeeth:

That which was collected by Al Khateeb Al Baghdaadi by way of Abu Qatan and Shabaabah
who both narrated from Shuâ∏ bah who narrated from Muhammad Ibn Ziyaad who
narrated from Abu Hurairah who said: The Messenger of Allah â∏ Sallallahu â∏ Alaihi
Was Salam Said: â Perfect the Wudhoo!, Woe to the heels from the hellfire â □
The statement â∏ Perfect the wudhoo !â∏ are actually the words of Abu Hurairah
and this is indicated by that which was collected by Imaamul Bukhaari in his â∏ Saheehâ
\coprod upon the authority of Aadam who narrated from Shuâ \coprod bah who narrated from
Muhammad Ibn Ziyaad who narrated from Abu Hurairah (thus Aadam (who is Aadam Ibn
Abi Iyaas), Abu Qatan and Shabaabah all took from the same narrator in this hadeeth,
namely Imaam Shuâ∏ bah â∏ Rahimahullah) who said: â∏ Perfect the wudhoo! for
indeed Abul Qaasim said: â∏ Woe to the heels from the hellfire!â∏

Thus we see that the version of Bukhaari makes it clear that they are actually Abu Hurairahs words.

2. In the middle of the hadeeth:

That which was collected by Imaamun Nasaaâ∏ ee in his Sunan (6/21) by way of: Ibn
Wahb who said it was narrated to be by Abu Haani upon the authority of Amr Ibn Maalik Al
Janabi that he heard Fudhaalah Ibn Ubaid say: â∏ I heard the Messenger of Allah â∏
Sallallahu â∏ alaihi Was Salam say:â∏ <i>I am the â</i> ∏ <i>Zaâ</i> ∏ <i>eemâ</i> ∏
(Guarantor) â $_{\coprod}$ and the â $_{\coprod}$ Zaâ $_{\coprod}$ eemâ $_{\coprod}$ (meaning) the â $_{\coprod}$ Hameelâ
\coprod (warrantor) â \coprod for the one who believes in me, embraces (Islaam) and
migrates of a house in the outskirts of Paradise and a house in the middle of



		lise.	^ _
n ¬	~~~	1100	
114			a
Nu	ич	136.	

Here the state	ement The â ⊞	the â∏	Zaâ⊞	eemâ∐	(meaning)	the â∏
Hameelâ⊞	is actually fron	n the word	ls of the	narrator Ibi	n Wahb	

3.At the end of the Hadeeth

That which is collected by Ibn Abi Haatim in his â∏ Illalâ∏ (1/65) from the hadeeth of
Ibraaheem Ibn Tahmaan who narrated from Hishaam Ibn Hasaan who narrated from
Muhammad Ibn Seereen who narrated from Abu Hurairah and (a second chain of narration
beginning with Ibraaheem) who (also) narrated from Sahl Ibn Abi Saalih from his father
from Abu Hurairah who said The Messenger of Allah â $ \square $ Sallallahu â $ \square $ Alaihi Was Salam
said: â∏ If one of you rises from his sleep then let him wash his hands three
times before he immerses them into his vessel (of water, meaning he washes his
hands externally then immerses them into his vessel of water) for indeed he
does not know where his hand has spent the night, then let him scoop with his
right hand from the vessel and let its contents pour upon his left then let him
wash his rear endâ∐

Abu Haatim mentioned:

$\hat{a} \square$ What is befitting (and correct) is that the statement $\hat{a} \square$ then let him scoop with his
right hand from the vessel and let its contents pour upon his left then let him wash his real
endâ \coprod is attributed to Ibraaheem Ibn Tahmaan, for indeed he connected his statement
to the end of the hadeeth and those who heard (the hadeeth from him) did not make a
distinctionâ∏

Wallahu Aâ⊞ lam

@abuhakeembilal

Category

- 1. Hadeeth
- 2. The Scholars

Date

09/17/2025

Date Created

10/02/2013