



# Was Imam Abu Hanifah Weak in Hadith? Examining Scholarly Opinions

Bismillahi Wal Hamdullillah was Salaatu Was Salaamu â∏ alaa Rasoolillahi

## **Description**

Hadeeth?!â∏

Ammaa Baâ∏ (	d:			
A few articles ag	o i discussed an issue related to the fo	ur rakâ <u></u>	aahs after ishaa c	arrying
the reward of lail	latul Qadr and a weak hadeeth was me	entioned. S	haikh Al Albaani cl	arified
that the reason f	or its weakness was the presence of In	maam Abu	Haneefah in its cha	ain of

In order to clarify the issue i quote here the research of Shaikh Al Albaani concerning the affair:

narration. A question that arose after that was: â ☐ But is Abu Haneefah weak in

#### Shaikh Al Albaani mentions rahimahullah:

I mentioned back there (Under hadeeth 397) that Abu Haneefah has been declared weak (by the scholars of Hadeeth ) in Hadeeth. In order to bring clarity to this I say:					
I mentioned there that Abu Haneefah â∏ Rahimahullah â∏ was declared weak due to his memory by (Imaam) Al Bukhaari (Imaam) Muslim, (Imaam) Nasaaâ∏ I and					
<b>Ibn â</b> $\square$ <b>Adi</b> and others from the Imaams of Hadeeth. So I will mention here the quotes from the Imaams (of Hadeeth) i have indicated and others from whom this statement has authentically come. That the reader may be upon clarity in the affair and so that none can					



(i.e. (pur	n about what we mentioned there (i.e that he is weak in hadeeth) that this is ljtihaad his personal conclusion based upon his own analysis) from me, rather it is itibaaâ∏ e following) of the people of knowledge, understanding and specialism. Allah the sty and Exalted has stated â∏
â∭	Ask the people of Knowledge if you do not knowâ∏ (Suratul Nahl Vs 43)
And	he has said:
â∭	Ask of it one who is well aquaintedâ∏ (Suratul Furqaan Vs 59)
2.	Imaamul Bukhaari said in â ☐ Taareekhul Kabeerâ ☐ (4/2/81) â ☐ Sakatoo â ☐ Anhuâ ☐ (this statement of Imaamul Bukhaari literally means: â ☐ they remained quiet about himâ ☐ That is in reference to the Imaams of Hadeeth. But this statement if it comes from Imaam Bukhaari then it means that they left narrating from him since Imaam al Bukhaari was well known to be subtle in his statements of Jarh. Imaam Ibn Katheer mentions: â ☐ If Imaamul Bukhaari says about a narrator â ☐ Sakatoo â ☐ anhuâ ☐ or â ☐ Feehi Nadhrâ ☐ (Lit: his affair is dubious) then he (the narrator) is at the lowest level ( of trustworthiness) as far as he is concerned, due to the fact that he was subtle with his disparaging statementsâ ☐ ikhtisaar â ☐ Uloomil Hadeeth p89. AH) Imaam Muslim mentioned in â ☐ Al Kunaa Wal Asmaaâ ☐ (1/31 Ù ☐ ) â ☐ He is unstable (Mudtarib) in hadeeth and doesnt have many Authentic hadeethâ ☐ Imaam An Nasaaâ ☐ ie mentions at the end of â ☐ Ad-Duâ ☐ afaaâ ☐ Wal
٦.	Matrookeenâ (p57): â He is not strong in hadeeth and he makes many mistakes even though his narrations are fewâ (∏
	Imaam Ibn â ☐ Adee in â ☐ Al Kaamilâ ☐ (2/403):â ☐ He has some sound hadeeth but the majority of what he narrates are erroneous narrations, with mistakes in wording and additions in their chains of narration and their texts. He (also) makes Mistakes when quoting the names of the narrators and the majority of that which he narrates is like this. None of his narrations are authentic other than a little more the ten, while he actually narrates some three hundred hadeeth, some of them popular, while some are strange narrations but they are all narrated in this fashion. This is because he is not from Ahlul Hadeeth (the people of hadeeth), thus one should not transmit from an individual of this description in hadeethâ ☐ . Imaam Ibn Sâ ☐ ad mentions in his â ☐ Tabaqaatâ ☐ (6/256): â ☐ He was â ☐ Daeâ ☐ eef (weak) in hadeethâ ☐



6.	Imaam Al Uqailee mentions in â∏ Ad Duâ∏ afaaâ∏ (The weak Narrators): â
	☐ It was narrated to me from Abdullah ibn Ahmad who said:â☐ I heard my father
	(Imaam Ahmad) say: â $ \square $ The Hadeeth of Abu Haneefah are weakâ $ \square $
7.	Imaam Ibn Abee Haatim mentioned in â ☐ Al Jarh Wat Taâ ☐ deelâ ☐ (4/1/450):
	$\hat{a}_{\coprod}$ It was narrated to me from Hajaaj ibn Hamzah who said we were informed by $\hat{a}$
	□ abdaan ibn Uthmaan who said i heard Ibn Al Mubaarak say: â     □ Abu Haneefah
	was â∏ Miskeenâ∏ (A pauper) in hadeethâ∏
8.	Imaam Abu Hafs Ibn Shaaheen said:â
	in the knowledge of figh that which can not be denied, though he was not pleasing in
	Hadeethâ∏ ¦â∏
9.	<b>Imaam Ibn Hibbaan</b> stated: â⊞ He was a man who used to debate (ie in issues of
	knowledge) and he was apparently pious, though hadeeth was not his forta, 🛘 . He
	narrated some one hundred and thirty hadeeth with isnaad (chains of narration), he
	has no other hadeeth in the dunya but them and he erred in one hundred and twenty
	of them! He either reversed the chain of narration or he changed the text of the
	hadeeth to the extent that the hadeeth was not recognisable. So since his errors have
	overcome his instances of correctness, one should leave relying upon him in
	narrationâ $oxdot$ .
10.	<b>Imaam Ad Daaraqutni</b> mentions in his â∏ Sunanâ∏ a narration from Abu
	Haneefah who narrates from Moosaa ibn abee Aaâ∏ isha who narrates upon the
	authority of Abdullah ibn Shadaadf rom Jaabir â Radhiyallahu anhu who attribute
	to the Messenger â∏ Sallallahu Alaihi wa Salam (the statement): â∏ <b>Whoever</b>
	has an Imaam then the recitation of the Imaam is recitation for himâ
	then Imaam Ad Daaraqtni states after it (P 123): â∏ No one narrates it from
	Moosaa ibn Abee Aaâ $oxdot$ isha other than Abu Haneefah and Al Hasan ibn â $oxdot$
	Umaarah and they are both weakâ⊞ .
11.	He was mentioned by <b>Imaam Haakim</b> in â∏ Maâ∏ rifatu â∏ Uloomil Hadeethâ
	group of Narrators from the era of the Atbaaâ $\ \ \ \ $ ut Taabiâ $\ \ \ \ $ een (Successors of the
	Successors of the Companions) and the period after them, whose ahadeeth were
	not used in the Books of Authentic Hadeeth, he then sealed that with his statement
	(P256): â∏ Everyone we have mentioned here is well known as a narrator, but they
	are not considered among the precise, trustworthy memorisorsâ $oxdot$ .
12.	Al Haafidh Abdul Haq Al Ashbeeli mentions in â $ \square $ Al Ahkaamâ $ \square $ (2/12 $ \grave{U} \square $ ) the
	hadeeth of Khaalid ibn Alqama from Abdu Khairin from Ali concerning his wudhoo
	(salaallahu alaihi wa Salam: â∏ <i>He wiped his head once</i> â∏ then he states at
	the end of it: â∏ This is how the trustworty memorisors have narrated it from



to his weakness in Hadeethâ∏ 13. <b>Ibnil Jawzi</b> mentions him in his book â∏ Ad Duâ∏ afaa wal Matrookeenâ∏	
13. <b>Ibnil Jawzi</b> mentions him in his book â∏ Ad Duâ∏ afaa wal Matrookeenâ∏	
Weak and abandoned Narrators)(3/163) and he narrates the statements of Imaan Nasaaâ $ \square $ ie and others who have previously been mentioned declaring him weat He also narrates from At Thowri (Imaam sufyaan Ath Thowri) that he said: â $ \square $ He not Trustworthyâ $ \square $ and from An Nadhr ibn Shameel who said: â $ \square $ He is	ık.
abandoned in Hadeethâ <u></u>	
14. <b>Imaamudh Dhahabee</b> mentions in â∏ Dewaan Ad Dhuâ∏ afaa'(1-2/215Ù□ ):	â
☐ An Nuâ☐ maan Al Imaam â☐ Rahimahullah Ibn Adee said: The majority of that which he narrates is an error or has in it incorrect alterations of words or has incorrect additions in them, though he does have some good ahaadeeth. Imaamu Nasaaâ☐ ee said: â☐ He is not strong in Hadeeth and he makes many errors a mistakes even though his narrations are fewâ☐ Imaam Ibn Maâ☐ een said: â☐ Do not pen down his hadeethâ☐ . This narration from Ibn Maâ☐ een means the with him Abu Haneefah is considered among the weak narrators, which explains the statement that has come from Al Haafidh (ibn Hajr) in â☐ Tahdheebâ☐ wherein Ibn Hajr narrates that Ibn Maâ☐ een declares him trustworthy, is not the only statement that Ibn maâ☐ een has made concerning him. The reality is that opinions of Ibn Maâ☐ een about the imaam are at variance. On occasions he declares him trustworthy and on other occasions he declares him weak as in this narration. On other occasions he says in that which ibn Muhriz narrates from him ☐ Maâ☐ rifatur Rijaal (1/6/1): â☐ Abu Haneefah was â☐ Laa Baâ☐ sa bihi ☐ (This is a term used by the scholars of hadeeth to refer to one whos ahaadee may be written down for the purpose of analysis and comparison with the ahaade	of In and II at that e the in â eth and om of ate

Khaalid. It has also been narrated from Khaalid by Abu Haneefah who narrates:  $\hat{a} \coprod$ 



followers wrongly presume (and misunderstand). For how many a Jurist, Judge or righteous, upright individual has been criticised by the scholars of Hadeeth in that which concerns their memory or them not being precise, but that does not affect their deen or known uprightness, and this is an affair that is not hidden from those who busy themselves studying the biographies of the narrators $\hat{a}$   $\hat{a}$ 

Wallahu taâ∏ aalaa Aâ∏ lam

www.twitter.com/abuhakeembilal

### **Category**

- 1. Hadeeth
- 2. The Scholars

#### **Date**

12/23/2025

**Date Created** 

12/21/2011